

Citations for *Substance Abuse & Welfare Reform*

Citations

- APA. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. 4th ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 1994.
- Danziger S, Seefeldt K. Barriers to employment and the "hard to serve": implications for services, sanctions, and timer limits. *Focus*. 2002;22(1):76-81.
- Danziger SK, Corcoran M, Danziger S, et al. Barriers to the Employment of Welfare Recipients. In: Cherry R, Rogers W, eds. *Prosperity for All? The Economic Boom and African-Americans*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation; 2000.
- Dohan D, Schmidt L, Henderson S. From enabling to bootstrapping: welfare workers' views of substance abuse and welfare reform. *Contemporary Drug Problems*. 2005;32:429-455.
- Grant B, Dawson D. Alcohol and Drug Use, Abuse, and Dependence Among Welfare Recipients. *American Journal of Public Health*. 1996;86(10):1450-1454.
- Gutman M, McKay J, Ketterlinus R, McClellan A. Potential barriers to work for substance abusing women on welfare: findings from the "CASAWORKS for families" pilot demonstration. *Evaluation Review*. 2003;27(6):681-706.
- Jayakody R, Danziger S, Pollack H. Welfare reform, substance use and mental health. *Journal of Health Politics, Policy, and Law*. 2000;25(4).
- LAC. The state of state policy on TANF and addiction. New York: Legal Action Center.; 2002.
- Lown A, Schmidt L, Wiley J. Interpersonal violence among women seeking welfare: unraveling lives. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2006;96(8):1409-1415.
- McCrady B, Langenbucher J. Alcohol treatment and health care system reform. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 1996;53(8):737-746.
- McLellan A, Gutman M, McKay J, Ketterlinus R. One-year outcomes from the "CASAWORKS for Families" intervention for substance abusing women on welfare. *Evaluation Review*. 2003;27(6):656-680.
- Metsch L, Pereyra M, Miles C, McCoy C. Welfare and work outcomes after substance abuse treatment. *Social Science Review*. 2003;77(2):237-254.
- Metsch L, Pollack H. Welfare reform and substance abuse. *Milbank Quarterly*. 2005;83(1):65-99.
- Montoya I, Atkinson J, Struse H. A comparison of psychological barriers among welfare recipients: implications for drug treatment. *Substance Use and Misuse*. 2001;36(6&7):2025-2041.
- Morgenstern J, Blanchard KA, McCrady BS, McVeigh KH, Morgan TJ, Pandina RJ. Effectiveness of Intensive Case Management for Substance-Dependent Women Receiving Temporary Assistance for Needy Families. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2006;November (forthcoming).
- Morgenstern J, McCrady B, Blanchard K, McVeigh K, Riordan A, Irwin T. Barriers to employability among substance dependent and nonsubstance - affected women on federal welfare: implications for program design. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*. 2003;64(2):239-246.
- Morgenstern J, Nakashian M, Woolis D, Gibson F, Bloom N, Kaulback B. CASAWORKS for families: a new treatment model for substance abusing parenting women on welfare. *Evaluation Review*. 2003;27(6):583-596.
- Morgenstern J, Riordan A, DePhillippis D, et al. Research notes. Specialized screening approaches can substantially increase the identification of substance abuse problems among welfare recipients. Washington, DC: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation: Department of Health and Human Services.;

Citations for *Substance Abuse & Welfare Reform*

2001.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Morgenstern J, Riordan A, DePhillippis D, et al. Specialized screening approaches can substantially increase the identification of substance abuse problems among welfare recipients. January 2001.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Phinney R, Danziger S, Pollack HA, Seefeldt K. Housing Instability among Current and Former Welfare Recipients. <i>American Journal of Public Health</i>. forthcoming.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PL104-193. Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act; 1996.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pollack H, Danziger S, Jayakody R, Seefeldt K. Drug testing welfare recipients--False positives, false negatives, unanticipated opportunities. <i>Women's Health Issues</i>. 2002.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pollack H, Danziger S, Seefeldt K, Jayakody R. Substance abuse among welfare recipients: Trends and policy responses. <i>Social Service Review</i>. 2002;76(2):256-274.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pollack H, Reuter P. Welfare receipt among substance-using mothers: Did welfare reform make a difference. <i>American Journal of Public Health</i>. 2006;96(11):2024-2031..
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Schmidt L, Dohan D, Wiley J, Zabkiewicz D. Addiction and welfare dependency: interpreting the connection. <i>Social Problems</i>. 2002;49(2):221-241.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Schmidt L, Wiley J, Dohan D, Zabkiewicz D, Jacobs L, Henderson S. Changing patterns of addiction and public aid receipt: tracking the unintended consequences of welfare reform. <i>Journal of Health Politics, Policy, Law</i>. forthcoming.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Seefeldt K, Orzol S. Watching the clock tick: TANF accumulation in a strong economy. Ann Arbor, MI: Program on Poverty and Social Welfare Policy; 2003.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weaver R. Ending Welfare as We Know It: Policy Making for Low-Income Families in the United States. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution; 2000.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weisner C, Schmidt L. Expanding the frame of health services research in the drug abuse field. <i>Health Services Research</i>. 1995;30(5):707-726.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wickizer T, Campbell K, Krupski A, Stack K. Employment outcomes among AFDC recipients treated for substance abuse in the Washington state. <i>Milbank Quarterly</i>. 2000;78(4):585-608.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Williams C, Juon H, Ensminger M. Marijuana and cocaine use among female African-American welfare recipients. <i>Drug and Alcohol Dependence</i>. 2004;75(2):185-191.